```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
                      MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
 2
                           NASHVILLE DIVISION
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 4
 5
                                        ) 3:18-cr-00293
         v.
                                        ) JUDGE RICHARDSON
 6
     JORGE FLORES, ET AL.
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
        BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELI J. RICHARDSON, DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
                       TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
15
                          TRIAL VOLUME 18 of 18
16
17
                               May 2, 2023
18
19
20
21
22
23
     DEBORAH K. WATSON, RPR, CRR
     Official Court Reporter
24
     713 Church Street, Suite 2300
     Nashville, TN 37203
25
     debbie watson@tnmd.uscourts.gov
```

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	For the Government:
4	AHMED A. SAFEEULLAH BROOKE C. FARZAD
5	U. S. Attorney's Office Middle District of Tennessee
6	719 Church Street, Suite 3300 Nashville, TN 37203
7	(615) 736-5151 Email: ahmed.safeeullah@usdoj.gov
8	Email: brooke.farzad@usdoj.gov
9	MATTHEW KEVIN HOFF Department of Justice
10	Organized Crime and Racketeering Sect 1301 New York Avenue, 7th Floor
11	Washington, DC 20005 (202) 598-8093
12	Email: matthew.hoff2@usdoj.gov
13	
14	For the Defendant, Jorge Flores:
15	LEONARD E. LUCAS, III The Law Firm of Leonard Earl Lucas
16	315 Deaderick Street, Suite 1550 Nashville, TN 37238
17	(301) 204-6498 Email: leonard.lucas@lellawfirm.com
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	

```
APPEARANCES (Continued):
 1
 2
     For the Defendant, Jose Pineda-Caceres:
 3
 4
                 THOMAS F. BLOOM
                 911 Marengo Lane
 5
                 Nashville, TN 37204
                 (615) 260-5952
                 Email: tfbloom1@comcast.net
 6
                 GEORGE TRAVIS HAWKINS
 7
                 Hawkins Law Firm, PLLC
                 735 Broad Street, Suite 305
 8
                 Chattanooga, TN 37402
                 (615) 599-1010
 9
                 Email: travis@travishawkins.com
10
11
     For the Defendant, Kevin Tidwell:
12
                 MATTHEW C. GULOTTA
                 The Gulotta Firm, PLLC
13
                 202 Adams Avenue
14
                 Memphis, TN 38103
                 (901) 213-6648
15
                 Email: matt@gulottalaw.net
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	INDEX	
2		Page
3	VERDICT OF THE JURY	6
4		6
5	POLLING OF THE JURY	25
6	JURY DISCHARGED FROM FURTHER SERVICE	30
7	OURI DIBCHARGED FROM FURIHER BERVICE	30
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 The above-styled cause came on to be heard at 2 3 2:24 p.m. on May 2, 2023, before the Honorable Eli J. 4 Richardson, District Judge, when the following proceedings 5 were had, to-wit: 6 THE COURT: All right. The Court notes that this 7 is our first time in court here today on May the 2nd. 8 like all counsel are here except for Ms. Hood-Schneider and 9 Mr. Ganguli. But each of the defendants is represented by 10 at least one attorney, and all counsel for the government 11 are here. 12 13 We had distributed a note that we had received signed by the foreperson saying: "We have come to unanimous 14 agreement on all counts, and we are ready to proceed, " 15 signed by the foreperson and dated today. 16 17 All right. Any preliminary matters, or are we ready to call in the jurors and address the verdicts? 18 19 MR. SAFEEULLAH: Nothing from the United States. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 21 Counsel? Nothing? 22 All right. You can call in the jurors. 23 (WHEREUPON, the jury re-entered the courtroom at

2:25 p.m., with matters being heard in open court as

24

25

follows:)

Thank you. Please be seated. 1 THE COURT: 2 All right. Mr. Foreperson, we have received a 3 note from you indicating that you've received -- or that you 4 have come to a unanimous verdict on all counts; is that 5 correct? JURY FOREPERSON: Yes, sir. 6 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. 7 You may pass to the court officer the verdict forms. 8 (Respite.) 9 All right. Here is how we will THE COURT: 10 I'm going to read each of the verdict forms in 11 12 turn, and I'll pick a random order with respect to the order 13 of defendants. And then after reading them, I will have them published to the attorneys but not before polling the 14 jurors, meaning I will ask each of them if it is their 15 And after we do that, we'll publish the verdict 16 verdict. 17 form to the attorneys and then we'll go from there. 18 All right. The Court will begin with the verdict form for Mr. Flores. 19 And the verdict forms reads as follows. 20 We will 21 go count by count. 22 We, the jury, unanimously find the following:

With respect to the charge in Count 1 of the indictment, RICO conspiracy, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

23

24

Guilty.

The jurors were instructed that if they found the defendant guilty on Count 1, to proceed to three questions below. Here are the answers to the three questions. No. 1 asked for a yes-or-no answer on the following:

We, having found the defendant guilty of the offense charged in Count 1, further unanimously find that as part of that offense, the defendant, on or about May 21st, 2017, did intentionally and with premeditation, kill Ammerli Garcia-Munoz or aided and abetted the killing in violation of Tennessee Code Annotated, Sections 39-13-202, 39-11-401, and 39-11-402 as alleged in special sentencing factor No. 3, paragraph 27 of the indictment. Check one.

Yes.

Question No. 2. We, having found the defendant guilty of the offense charged in Count 1, further unanimously find that as part of that offense, the defendant, on or about May 27th, 2017, did intentionally and with premeditation kill Jesus Flores or aided and abetted the killing in violation of Tennessee Code Annotated, Sections 39-13-202, 39-11-401, and 39-11-402 as alleged in Special Sentencing Factor No. 4., paragraph 28, of the indictment.

Yes.

No. 3. We, having found the defendant guilty of

the offense charged in Count 1, further unanimously find 1 that as part of that offense, the defendant, on or about 2 3 September 24th, 2017, did intentionally and with 4 premeditation kill Arling Laines or aided and abetted the 5 killing in violation of Tennessee Code Annotated, Sections 39-13-202, 39-11-401, and 39-11-402 as alleged in Special 6 Sentencing Factor No. 7, paragraph 31, of the indictment. 7 8

Yes.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

With respect to the charge in Count 2 Count 2. of the indictment, conspiracy to distribute and possess with the intent to distribute cocaine and marijuana, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

Guilty.

The jury was instructed to proceed to a question below if it found the defendant guilty on Count 2, so I will read that question.

We, having found the defendant guilty of the offense charged in Count 2, further unanimously find that as part of that offense, the defendant conspired to distribute or possessed with the intent to distribute cocaine, and that it was foreseeable to the defendant that the conspiracy involved the distribution of or possession with the intent to distribute the following:

Asked to select one quantity range, the jury 500 grams or more of cocaine. selected:

Next, Count 11. With respect to the charge in Count 11 of the indictment, conspiracy to commit murder in aid of racketeering of Roberto Viera, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

Guilty.

Count 12. With respect to the charge in Count 12 of the indictment, attempted murder in aid of racketeering of Roberto Viera, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

Guilty.

Count 13. With respect to the charge in Count 13 of the indictment, use, carry, brandish, and discharge a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence as charged in Count 12 of the indictment, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

Guilty.

Asked to proceed to a question below in the event of finding the defendant guilty, on Count 13, the jury answered that question, and I will read that.

We, having found the defendant guilty of the offense charged in Count 13 of the indictment, further unanimously find as follows:

We further unanimously find that a firearm was used or carried during and in relation to the attempted murder in aid of racketeering charged in Count 12 in the

following ways:

The jury checked: Used or carried a firearm, brandished a firearm, and discharged a firearm, all three.

Count 14. With respect to the charge in Count 14 of the indictment, conspiracy to commit murder in aid of racketeering of Jorge Luis Antunez-Vasquez, Hansy Sanchez, or Hector Venturas, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

Guilty.

Guilty.

Count 15. With respect to the charge in Count 15 of the indictment, attempted murder in aid of racketeering of Jorge Luis Antunez-Vasquez, Hansy Sanchez, or Hector Venturas, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

Count 16. With respect to the charge in Count 16 of the indictment, use, carry, brandish, and discharge a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence as charged in Count 15 of the indictment, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

Guilty.

Asked to proceed to a question if they did find the defendant guilty on Count 16, the jury answered that question. I will read it:

We further unanimously find that a firearm was used or carried during and in relation to the attempted

murder in aid of racketeering charged in Count 15 in the
following ways:

The jury checked each of the following: Used or carried a firearm, brandished a firearm, discharged a firearm.

Count 19. With respect to the charge in Count 19 of the indictment, conspiracy to commit murder in aid of racketeering of Ammerli Garcia-Munoz, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

Guilty.

Count 20. With respect to the charge in Count 20 of the indictment, murder in aid of racketeering activity of Ammerli Garcia-Munoz, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

Guilty.

Count 21. With respect to the charge in Count 21 of the indictment, use, carry, brandish, and discharge a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence as charged in Count 20 of the indictment, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

Guilty.

Asked in the event of a guilty verdict on Count 21 to answer a question, the jury answered it as follows. The question:

We further unanimously find that a firearm was

used or carried during and in relation to the murder in aid
of racketeering charged in Count 20 in the following ways:

The jury checked each one of the following: Used or carried a firearm, brandished a firearm, discharged a firearm.

Count 22. With respect to the charge in Count 22 of the indictment, causing death through use of a firearm of Ammerli Garcia-Munoz, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

Guilty.

With respect to the charge in Count 23 of the indictment, conspiracy to commit murder in aid of racketeering of Jesus Flores and Luis Roserio-Loyo, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

Guilty.

Count 24. With respect to the charge in Count 24 of the indictment, murder in aid of racketeering activity of Jesus Flores, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

Guilty.

Count 25. With respect to the charge in Count 25 of the indictment, use, carry, brandish, and discharge a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence as charged in Count 24 of the indictment, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

Guilty.

Asked in the event of a guilty verdict on that count, the Court proceeded to the following question:

We further unanimously find that a firearm was used during and in relation to the murder in aid of racketeering activity charged in Count 24 in the following ways:

And they checked each of the following: Used or carried a firearm, brandished a firearm, discharged a firearm.

Count 26. With respect to the charge in Count 26 of the indictment, causing death through use of a firearm of Jesus Flores, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

Guilty.

With respect to the charge in Count 27 of the indictment, attempted murder in aid of racketeering of Luis Roserio-Loyo, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

Guilty.

Count 28. With respect to the charge in Count 28 of the indictment, use, carry, brandish, and discharge a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence, as charged in Count 27 of the indictment, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

Guilty.

Asked to answer an additional question in the event of a guilty verdict on that count, the jury proceeded to the following question:

We further unanimously find that a firearm was used or carried during and in relation to the attempted murder in aid of racketeering charged in Count 27 in the following ways.

The jurors checked each of the following: Used or carried a firearm, brandished a firearm, discharged a firearm.

Count 38. With respect to the charge in Count 38 of the indictment, possession of a firearm by a convicted felon, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

Count 47. With respect to the charge in Count 47 of the indictment, conspiracy to commit murder in aid of racketeering of Arling Laines, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

Guilty.

Guilty.

Count 48. With respect to the charge in Count 48 of the indictment, murder in aid of racketeering activity of Arling Laines, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

Guilty.

Count 49. With respect to the charge in Count 49 of the indictment, use, carry, brandish, and discharge a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence as charged in Count 48 of the indictment, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

Guilty.

Asked in the event of a guilty verdict on this count to proceed to an additional question, the jurors answered the following question: We further unanimously find that a firearm was used during and in relation to the murder in aid of racketeering activity charged in Count 48 in the following ways.

The jurors checked all three: Used or carried a firearm, brandished a firearm, discharged a firearm.

Count 50. With respect to the charge in Count 50 of the indictment, causing death through use of a firearm of Arling Laines, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

Guilty.

Count 51. With respect to the charge in Count 51 of the indictment, obstruction of justice, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:

Guilty.

Count 52. With respect to the charge in Count 52 of the indictment, destruction of evidence, we unanimously

```
find the defendant, Jorge Flores:
 1
 2
                Guilty.
 3
                Count 53.
                           With respect to the charge in Count 53
 4
     of the indictment, possession with the intent to distribute
 5
     cocaine, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:
                Guilty.
 6
                           With respect to the charge in Count 54
 7
                Count 54.
     of the indictment, possession of a firearm by a convicted
 8
     felon, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:
 9
10
                Guilty.
                Finally, Count 55. With respect to the charge in
11
     Count 55 of the indictment, possession of a firearm in
12
13
     furtherance of a drug trafficking crime, to wit, the
     possession with the intent to distribute cocaine as charged
14
     in Count 2 of the indictment or the possession with the
15
     intent to distribute cocaine as charged in Count 53 of the
16
17
     indictment, we unanimously find the defendant, Jorge Flores:
18
                Guilty.
                Signed by the foreperson, dated May the 2nd,
19
     2023.
20
                We'll proceed next to the verdict form for
21
     Mr. Pineda-Caceres. And it reads as follows:
22
23
                We, the jury, unanimously find the following.
24
     Count 1, with respect to the charge in Count 1 of the
25
     indictment, RICO conspiracy, we unanimously find the
```

defendant, Jose Pineda-Caceres:

2 Guilty.

In the event of conviction, the jurors were asked to answer two additional questions, so the Court will read those questions and the answers.

No. 1. We, having found the defendant guilty of the offense charged in Count 1, further unanimously find that as part of that offense, the defendant, on or about April the 6th, 2016, did intentionally and with premeditation kill Jorge Alejandro Potter Alvarado or aided and abetted the killing in violation of Tennessee Code Annotated, Sections 39-13-202, 39-11-401, and 39-11-402 as alleged in Special Sentencing Factor No. 1, paragraph 25, of the indictment.

The jury checked yes.

Second question. We, having found the defendant guilty of the offense charged in Count 1, further unanimously find that as part of that offense, the defendant, on or about July 31st, 2016, did intentionally and with premeditation kill Liliana Rodriguez or aided and abetted the killing in violation of Tennessee Code

Annotated, Sections 39-13-202, 39-11-401, and 39-11-402 as alleged in Special Sentencing Factor No. 2, paragraph 26, of the indictment.

The jury checked yes.

With respect to the charge in Count 2 1 Count 2. of the indictment, conspiracy to distribute and possess with 2 3 the intent to distribute cocaine and marijuana, we 4 unanimously find the defendant, Jose Pineda-Caceres: 5 Guilty. Asked to answer an additional question in the 6 event of a guilty verdict on this count, the Court -- or the 7 jury proceeded to the following question: 8 We, having found the defendant quilty of the 9 offense charged in Count 2, further unanimously find that as 10 part of that offense, the defendant conspired to distribute 11 or possess with the intent to distribute cocaine, and that 12 13 it was foreseeable to the defendant that the conspiracy involved the distribution of or possession with intent to 14 distribute the following. 15 16 For a quantity range, the jury selected: 500 grams or more of cocaine. 17 18 Count 4. With respect to the charge in Count 4 19 of the indictment, murder in aid of racketeering activity of Jorge Alejandro Potter Alvarado, we unanimously find the 20 defendant, Jose Pineda-Caceres: 21 22 Guilty. 23 With respect to the charge in Count 5 24 of the indictment, causing death through use of a firearm of

Jorge Alejandro Potter Alvarado, we unanimously find the

defendant, Jose Pineda-Caceres:

2 Guilty.

Count 7. With respect to the charge in Count 7 of the indictment, conspiracy to commit murder in aid of racketeering of Rodrigo Rodriguez, we unanimously find the defendant, Jose Pineda-Caceres:

Guilty.

Count 8. With respect to the charge in Count 8 of the indictment, murder in aid of racketeering activity of Liliana Rodriguez, we unanimously find the defendant, Jose Pineda-Caceres:

Guilty.

Count 9. With respect to the charge in Count 9 of the indictment, use, carry, brandish, and discharge a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence, we unanimously find the defendant, Jose Pineda-Caceres:

Guilty.

Asked in the event of a guilty verdict on that count to proceed to additional question, the jury proceeded to that question. It reads as follows:

We further unanimously find that a firearm was used or carried during and in relation to the murder in aid of racketeering activity charged in Count 8 in the following ways.

The jury selected each of the following ways:

```
Used or carried a firearm, brandished a firearm, discharged
 1
     a firearm.
 2
 3
                Finally, Count 10. With respect to the charge in
 4
     Count 10 of the indictment, causing death through use of a
 5
     firearm of Liliana Rodriguez, we unanimously find the
     defendant, Jose Pineda-Caceres:
 6
                Guilty.
 7
                Signed by the foreperson, dated May the 2nd,
 8
     2023.
 9
                And we proceed to the final verdict form, that of
10
     Mr. Tidwell. And it reads as follows.
11
12
                We, the jury, unanimously find the following:
                With respect to the charge in Count 1 of the
1.3
     indictment, RICO conspiracy, we unanimously find the
14
     defendant, Kevin Tidwell:
15
16
                Guilty.
                Asked to answer two additional questions in the
17
     event of a guilty plea -- or excuse me -- a guilty verdict
18
19
     on Count 1, the jury proceeded to these questions.
                No. 1. We, having found the defendant guilty of
20
     the offense charged in Count 1, further unanimously find
21
22
     that as part of that offense, the defendant, on or about
     May 21st, 2017, did intentionally and with premeditation
23
     kill Ammerli Garcia-Munoz or aided and abetted the killing
24
```

in violation of Tennessee Code Annotated, Sections

39-13-202, 39-11-401, and 39-11-402 as alleged in Special 1 Sentencing Factor No. 3, paragraph 27, of the indictment. 2 3 The jury selected yes. 4 No. 2. We, having found the defendant guilty of 5 the offense charged in Count 1, further unanimously find that as part of that offense, the defendant, on or about 6 May 27th, 2017, did intentionally and with premeditation 7 kill Jesus Flores or aided and abetted the killing in 8 violation of Tennessee Code Annotated, Sections 39-13-202, 9 39-11-401, and 39-11-402 as alleged in Special Sentencing 10 Factor No. 4, paragraph 28 of the indictment. 11 12 The jury selected yes. 13 Count 19. With respect to the charge in Count 19 of the indictment, conspiracy to commit murder in aid of 14 racketeering of Ammerli Garcia-Munoz, we unanimously find 15 the defendant, Kevin Tidwell: 16 17 Guilty. 18 With respect to the charge in Count 20 of the 19 indictment, murder in aid of racketeering activity of Ammerli Garcia-Munoz, we unanimously find the defendant, 20 Kevin Tidwell: 21 22 Guilty. 23 Count 21. With respect to the charge in Count 21 24 of the indictment, use, carry, brandish, and discharge a 25 firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence as

charged in Count 20 of the indictment, we unanimously find 1 the defendant, Kevin Tidwell: 2 3 Guilty. 4 Asked to proceed to an additional question in the 5 event of a guilty verdict on this count, the jury proceeded to that question which reads as follows: We further 6 unanimously find that a firearm was used or carried during 7 and in relation to the murder in aid of racketeering charged 8 in Count 20 in the following ways. 9 The jury checked each of the following ways: 10 Used or carried a firearm, brandished a firearm, and 11 12 discharged a firearm. 13 With respect -- and now we're talking about With respect to the charge in Count 22 of the 14 Count 22. indictment, causing death through use of a firearm of 15 Ammerli Garcia-Munoz, we unanimously find the defendant, 16 Kevin Tidwell: 17 18 Guilty. 19 With respect to the charge in Count 23 Count 23: of the indictment, conspiracy to commit murder in aid of 20 21 racketeering of Jesus Flores and Luis Roserio-Loyo, we 22 unanimously find the defendant, Kevin Tidwell: 23 Guilty. 24 Count 24. With respect to the charge in Count 24

of the indictment, murder in aid of racketeering activity of

Jesus Flores, we unanimously find the defendant, Kevin 1 Tidwell: 2 3 Guilty. 4 Count 25. With respect to the charge in Count 25 5 of the indictment, use, carry, brandish, and discharge a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence as 6 charged in Count 24 of the indictment, we unanimously find 7 the defendant, Kevin Tidwell: 8 9 Guilty. In the event of a guilty verdict on that count, 10 the jury was asked to answer the following question: 11 12 We further unanimously find that a firearm was 13 used or carried during and in relation to the murder in aid of racketeering activity charged in Count 24 in the 14 following ways. 15 The jury selected each of the following ways: 16 17 Used or carried a firearm, brandished a firearm, discharged 18 a firearm. 19 Count 26. With respect to the charge in Count 26 of the indictment, causing death through use of a firearm of 20 21 Jesus Flores, we unanimously find the defendant, Kevin Tidwell: 22 23 Guilty. 24 Count 27. With respect to the charge in Count 27 25 of the indictment, attempted murder in aid of racketeering

of Luis Roserio-Loyo, we unanimously find the defendant, 1 Kevin Tidwell: 2 3 Guilty. 4 Count 28. With respect to the charge in Count 28 5 of the indictment, use, carry, brandish, and discharge a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence as 6 charged in Count 27 of the indictment, we unanimously find 7 the defendant, Kevin Tidwell: 8 9 Guilty. Asked in the event of a guilty verdict on that 10 count to proceed to an additional question, the jury did so. 11 12 The question reads as follows: 13 We further unanimously find that a firearm was used or carried during and in relation to the attempted 14 murder in aid of racketeering charged in Count 27 in the 15 following ways. 16 17 The jury selected each of the following ways: 18 Used or carried a firearm, brandished a firearm, discharged a firearm. 19 And finally, on Count 29. With respect to the 20 charge in Count 29 of the indictment, unlawful possession of 21 a firearm by a convicted felon, we unanimously find the 22 defendant, Kevin Tidwell: 23 24 Guilty. Signed by the foreperson, dated May the 2nd, 25

```
2023.
 1
                So from the reading of the verdict forms, it is
 2
 3
     clear that the verdict forms reflect that the jury has found
 4
     each of the three defendants, Mr. Flores,
 5
     Mr. Pineda-Caceres, and Mr. Tidwell, guilty on all counts.
                Next, I'm going to ask each of the jurors one by
 6
     one whether these, in fact, are their verdicts. And again,
 7
     the question is whether, when I say these are your verdicts,
 8
     that is a quilty verdict against each defendant on all
 9
            I'm going to refer to each juror by their number.
10
     It will be their seat number throughout the trial, and we'll
11
12
    proceed that way.
                Juror No. 1, are these your verdicts?
13
                JUROR NO. 1:
                              Yes.
14
                THE COURT: Juror No. 2, are these your verdicts?
15
                JUROR NO. 2:
16
                              Yes.
                THE COURT: Juror No. 3, are these your verdicts?
17
                JUROR NO. 3: Yes, sir.
18
                THE COURT: Juror No. 4, are these your verdicts?
19
                JUROR NO. 4:
                              Yes.
20
                           Juror No. 5, are these your verdicts?
21
22
                JUROR NO. 5: Yes, Your Honor.
23
                            Juror No. 6, are these your verdicts?
24
                JUROR NO. 6:
                              Yes.
25
                THE COURT:
                            Juror No. 7, are these your verdicts?
```

```
JUROR NO. 7: Yes, Your Honor.
1
 2
                THE COURT: And Juror No. 9, are these your
 3
     verdicts?
 4
                JUROR NO. 9: Yes, sir.
 5
                THE COURT: Juror No. 10, are these your
     verdicts?
 6
 7
                JUROR NO. 10:
                               Yes.
                THE COURT: Juror No. 11, are these your
 8
     verdicts?
 9
                JUROR NO. 11: Yes, sir.
10
                THE COURT: Juror No. 12, are these your
11
    verdicts?
12
13
                JUROR NO. 12:
                               Yes.
                THE COURT: Are you sure?
14
                JUROR NO. 12: (Nodding head affirmatively.)
15
                THE COURT: All right.
16
                Juror No. 13, are these your verdicts?
17
18
                JUROR NO. 13: Yes, Your Honor.
19
                THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
20
                Next, I'm going to ask our court security officer
     to deliver these to counsel, first at the defense table, and
21
22
     then over to the prosecution table, to inspect the verdict
23
     forms.
24
                (Respite.)
25
                THE COURT:
                            All right. Want to ask counsel for
```

the various parties whether they are satisfied as to the 1 form of the completion of the verdict forms. This is not a 2 3 question about a view about the verdicts themselves. 4 Regarding the completion of the verdict form, though, 5 Mr. Gulotta, any objection? MR. GULOTTA: No, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. 7 Regarding the completion of the verdict form, its 8 form of completion, Mr. Lucas, any objection? 9 MR. LUCAS: No objection. 10 THE COURT: Thank you. And, Mr. Bloom, regarding 11 12 the form of the completion of the verdict form, any 13 objection? MR. BLOOM: No, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: 15 Thank you. Mr. Safeeullah, regarding the form of the 16 17 completion of the verdict forms, any objection? 18 MR. SAFEEULLAH: No, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I am going to ask counsel one by one if they're 20 21 aware of any reason why this jury cannot be discharged at this time. 22 23 Mr. Gulotta, are you aware of any reason? 24 MR. GULOTTA: No, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Lucas, are you aware

1 of any reason? No, Your Honor. 2 MR. LUCAS: 3 THE COURT: And, Mr. Bloom, are you aware of any 4 reason? 5 MR. BLOOM: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. 6 And Mr. Safeeullah? 7 MR. SAFEEULLAH: No, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, counsel. 9 All right. Folks on the jury, this was obviously 10 a lengthy case and a serious case. We appreciate your 11 12 attention to the important matters set forth in this case 13 and your service which took place over a number of weeks. It is, of course, always gratifying to the Court when we are 14 able to conclude before we said we would because we are 15 16 aware that jurors' time is very valuable. Your time is very 17 important, just as the matters that we are addressing in this trial are valuable, and it is good that we do not 18 19 impose any more than we said we would, and I'm glad that we 20 were able to conclude the trial in a timely manner. 21 We are also glad for your service, taking upon 22 yourselves the obligation and the duty, when you were 23 selected for service on this trial, to listen closely to the 24 testimony, review all the evidence, and do your duty to

return the verdict that the jurors were unanimously agreed

upon. We're very appreciative of that.

What I would say next is this, and this is not a requirement of anyone. So in a moment here, I'm going to dismiss you and you'll be free to leave. For those jurors, including alternates, that do wish to remain behind in the jury room, it wouldn't take me very long, but I would like to come back and extend further thanks.

And also, what's important to me as a judge and this court as an institution would be to discuss with you your experience as jurors. That helps us know what we can do better as a court to accommodate our jurors, to be more efficient, to see where they're coming from, to make sure that as an organization that needs to be cognizant of jurors' needs, that we are doing the best we can.

For those folks that are willing to stay and have that discussion, I'd be grateful, and for those that don't wish to do so, that's perfectly understood. No obligation whatsoever.

So with our thanks, I'm going to dismiss you. Whenever you do leave, be it before or after meeting with me, whether you end up meeting with me or not, please do check back in at the jury assembly room one last time before heading out.

The jurors therefore may step down with our thanks. Thank you.

(WHEREUPON, the jury was excused from the courtroom and discharged from further service at 3:02 p.m., with the following matters being heard in open court as follows:)

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Please be seated.

All right. Let's talk about a couple of scheduling issues. Of course, I had deferred a ruling on the Rule 29 motion. And, you know, to me, it's interesting, if you look at the timing of briefing after the trial is over on a Rule 29 motion, 29(c)(1) has sort of this 14-day deadline. You can't quite tell whether that deadline applies, you know, to any briefing that might be ordered in a case where a Rule 29 motion was reserved by the Court. I don't think it does apply.

I do think that in any event, the Court could, you know, be flexible, depending on how the defendants want to proceed, in terms of when any briefing in connection with that Rule 29 motion would be submitted.

The way I want to proceed on this important scheduling matter -- and I want to address it right now at least for starters, is to see whether any defense counsel right at this moment has a proposal for any such briefing. And the proposals could be anything from, well, Judge, we prefer not to do any briefing. We'd like to do an argument

in court. You should either do a brief or an argument.

But you could say that. You could say, we want to brief it within X number of days. You could say we want a transcript of the trial and brief it within X number of days after that. I'm open to suggestions, but want to see if anyone has any ideas right at present.

You'd be well within your rights to want to think about that. Anyone have any particular take on it right now?

Okay. Let me, since you are able to speak with one voice for one side rather than having three different obviously defense teams on the other side, Mr. Safeeullah, can I ask you to have a discussion with defense counsel to see if there can be an understanding reached about the defendants having an opportunity to present their Rule 29 motions on a post-trial basis?

MR. SAFEEULLAH: Yes, Your Honor. We'll be glad to do that.

THE COURT: Thank you for that. And if the parties are able to present a joint proposal, I'm inclined to, of course, allow the parties' joint plan to proceed.

If there's a disagreement for some reason, then I will resolve it, but if there's a way for the parties to agree about how they want to proceed, I'm inclined to accommodate the parties.

Now, related --

Do you need a moment, Mr. Lucas? I mean, that's fine if you do.

Do you need a moment, Mr. Hawkins?

MR. HAWKINS: Your Honor, my client is asking about the separation order in the jail. I'll talk to him about it and then I'll make an inquiry of the Court. He's wondering about whether that's going to be still in effect, and I don't really -- I'll talk to him about it and then address it with the Court.

with us on that, let us know. And, you know, I would think, you know, if you think anything informally in the discretion of the Marshals Service could be informative, you can always go that route as well. Of course, I don't tell the Marshals what to say or not to say, but that's one way to also explore it.

All right. Now, the next thing would be a sentencing date, and I realize there are a lot of factors that could go into this, the preparation that may be required, the timing of any resolution of a Rule 29 motion and so forth. But I do want to get something on the calendar, and we're going to err on the side of doing it earlier, understanding that may need to be pushed back for a variety of reasons.

We would be looking at -- and the way I see it, when we -- be looking at, you know, right at the end of four months, right? It would be right at four months, you know, which is kind of the general time frame this Court's been going at recently. And so if we set it right within that four-month time frame, there are a couple of dates that we could set this on. One is Wednesday, August 30th, in the afternoon.

And the way I would do it would be -- well, let me back up and say it this way. I'm going to schedule each defendant's sentencing separately in any event. And, one, it seems to me that, for example, there are probably three or four slots that would, I think, be appropriate to offer, understanding that, you know, it's always hard to say how long a sentencing would take. Sentencings can take a long time.

But when we slot some things initially, we might just allow a two-hour block initially, just for scheduling purposes, and then see if we need to tweak it. Obviously, in serious cases, more time may be required.

What I'm inclined to do is offer the following two-hour blocks: Wednesday, August the 30th, at 1:00 and 3:00; and Thursday, August 31st, at 1:00 and 3:00.

Any reason at least, you know, as a placeholder, Mr. Gulotta, that for Mr. Tidwell, Thursday, August 31st at

```
1:00 p.m. wouldn't work?
 1
                              That should work, Your Honor.
 2
                MR. GULOTTA:
 3
                THE COURT:
                            Thank you, sir. All right.
 4
     Mr. Lucas, what about, again, understanding this is sort of
 5
     a placeholder, but Thursday, August 31st at 3:00 p.m.?
                            Your Honor, that should work.
                MR. LUCAS:
 6
                THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 7
                                                     And
     Mr. Bloom, Mr. Hawkins, what about August 30th at 1:00 p.m.
 8
     for Mr. Pineda-Caceres?
 9
                              That's fine, Your Honor.
10
                MR. HAWKINS:
                THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
11
12
                Mr. Safeeullah, what about those as placeholders,
13
     from the government's perspective?
                MR. SAFEEULLAH: They all work for the United
14
     States.
15
16
                THE COURT: All right. Thank you. So we'll get
17
     out orders setting those sentencing dates, and, you know,
     we'll see how it goes. Those will be on the calendar,
18
19
     though, for now.
                Now, I wanted to make a few comments about the
20
21
     way that the case was litigated. These are very serious
22
     charges, obviously, and they matter a great deal to all the
23
    parties.
24
                I wanted to commend counsel from my perspective
25
     on something that I saw, which is that I didn't see either
```

side wasting each other's time or the Court's time with immaterial things. I personally had the sense that counsel were working to reasonably cooperate with each other where that was in their mutual interests, and where neither side would prejudice their client by making reasonable accommodations. And when you accommodate one side, I'm confident that you will find that you get accommodations coming back the other way.

And counsel should be commended for working to agree on things where they could rather than disagree or be difficult for the sake of disagreeing.

Now, Mr. Ganguli had asked potential jurors during the jury voir dire if they would hold it against him if he -- and I'm paraphrasing Mr. Ganguli -- but if they would hold it against him if he presented himself as, you know, polite and respectful to his adversaries. Would the jurors think that that would mean that he's not a zealous advocate for his client, doesn't believe in his client. That's how I'd paraphrase what he said.

And that, I think, is an appropriate question, because when -- sometimes it's important for no one to get the impression that if counsel isn't being difficult or a jerk just to be difficult or a jerk, that they aren't being a good and zealous advocate.

I think that we found here, from my perspective,

advocacy that was very, on both sides, intentional. It was zealous without being difficult, rude, or disrespectful, just to be that way. And I think counsel are to be commended for that.

I found that, you know, looking at the defense side, that counsel were deliberate in their choices in where to focus the jury's attention rather than taking a shotgun approach of making an issue out of everything just to make an issue out of something. I think defense counsel are to be commended for trying the case in that manner, trying to focus their efforts on where they thought the defense could get the most traction. And I wanted to commend defense counsel for that.

From the government's perspective, what I would say is that they were very efficient in their presentation. And that's important to the court as an institution because it's most -- the main thing is that in addition to being respectful of everyone's time in the system, it's particularly respectful to the jurors' time. When the government's efficient in putting on its case in chief; that is, having witnesses ready to go, getting them on and off, being ready to start up when the Court is ready to start up, that's respectful to the jurors, and the government should be commended for that.

All right. Is there anything else that we need

```
to discuss at this time from your perspective,
1
     Mr. Safeeullah?
 2
 3
                MR. SAFEEULLAH: No, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Gulotta, anything
 4
 5
     from you?
                MR. GULOTTA:
                               Nothing further, Your Honor.
 6
                THE COURT: All right. And Mr. Lucas, anything
7
     from you?
 8
                MR. LUCAS:
                            No, Your Honor.
 9
                THE COURT: And Mr. Bloom and Mr. Hawkins,
10
     anything from you?
11
                            No, Your Honor.
12
                MR. BLOOM:
13
                THE COURT: All right. Thank you, counsel.
14
     stand in recess.
15
                (WHEREUPON, the foregoing proceedings were
     concluded at 3:14 p.m.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Deborah K. Watson, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee, with offices at Nashville, do hereby certify:

That I reported on the Stenograph machine the proceedings held in open court on May 2, 2023, in the matter of <u>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA vs. JORGE FLORES, ET AL.</u>, Case No. 3:18-cr-00293; that said proceedings in connection with the hearing were reduced to typewritten form by me; and that the foregoing transcript (Trial Volume 18 of 18, pages 1 through 37) is a true and accurate record of said proceedings.

This the 24th day of July, 2023.

/s/ Deborah K. Watson
DEBORAH K. WATSON, RPR, CRR
Official Court Reporter